UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,522	09/29/2003	Mark Bernard Hettish	2003P08061US	1651	
7590 01/09/2008 Siemens Corporation			EXAMINER		
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South			PADMANABHAN, KAVITA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 0883	0		2161		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1 %		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/673,522	HETTISH, MARK BERNARD
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Kavita Padmanabhan	2161
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPS on sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repvill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT. cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Do	ecember 2007.	•
2a)□		action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
9)□ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). b) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachmen	ıt(s)		
1) 🔲 Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	
3) 🔲 Inforr	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 1-5 and 10-20 have been amended.
- 2. Claim 9 has been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 10-20 are pending.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 10-20 are rejected.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

5. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/21/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-7 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522 Page 3

Art Unit: 2161

claimed invention. There does not appear to be support in the applicant's original specification for an "identity oriented context system that represents a context of a user based on a context of an entity," a "device oriented context system that represents the context of the user based on a context of the device," or interfacing the them both, as recited in each independent claim.

Applicant has pointed to Figs. 1 and 2, but these do not mention device oriented or identity oriented context systems nor do they mention that a an identity oriented context is based on a context of an entity or that a device oriented context represents a context of a user based on a context of a device.

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1-7 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 18-20 each recite the limitation "said identity context oriented application" in the last line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in each of the claims. Claims 2-7 and 10-17 are rejected in view of their dependence on claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522

Art Unit: 2161

11. Claims 1-7 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diacakis et al. (US 2002/0116336, hereinafter "Diacakis").

In regards to claim 1, Diacakis teaches a method, comprising:

- interfacing an identity oriented context system that represents a context of a user based on a context of an entity with a device oriented context system that represents the context of the user based on a context of the device (Diacakis; Fig. 1; Fig. 4 presence detection engine interpreted as device oriented context system since it determines user's presence on particular devices, and availability management engine interpreted as identity oriented context system since it determines user's availability based on user's/entity's situation);
- detecting a new device oriented context provided by said device oriented context system for a specific device associated with an identity (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035] "when the P&A management server 12 detects that the individual is at work, the server 12 transmits the individual's updated P&A information to the clients 22 for the individual's boss and spouse"; par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044] "determine whether an individual is present on other devices such as, for example, a personal digital assistant (PDA) 50 or a pager 52"), wherein said new device oriented context provides an availability status of the specific device (Diacakis; par [0026] "if a person is not near a landline telephone or wireless telephone, or the wireless telephone is switched off, then that person is not present on a telephone network, and thus unable to communicate with others on the telephone network. Similarly, if a person uses an

Art Unit: 2161

instant messaging (IM) application at a given point in time, the person is present on that instant messaging network"; par [0045] - "determine the individual's current capabilities 58 such as, for example, whether he can receive voice information, data files, audio files, video files, etc."; par [0053]); and

- mapping said new device oriented context provided by said device oriented context system to an identity oriented context for said identity provided by said identity oriented context system by associating the new device oriented context with said identity oriented context, wherein said identity oriented context provides an availability status of said identity (Diacakis; par [0056] "For example, in FIG. 8 Alex is available by telephone and instant messaging, but Tom is only available by telephone and Pete is only available by instant messaging."; [0059]; Fig. 8 identity oriented contexts may be for example, "at home" or "at office" and device oriented contexts may be for example whether the individual is available via phone, IM, etc.); and
- providing data indicative of said mapped identity oriented context to said identity
 context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0030]; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to **claim 2**, **Diacakis** teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said new device oriented context for said device includes detecting said new device oriented context in a presence and availability service (**Diacakis**; **Fig. 1**).

Art Unit: 2161

In regards to claim 3, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said detecting said new device oriented context for said device includes receiving a request to change said device's device context (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18).

In regards to claim 4, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said new device oriented context to said identity oriented context for said identity includes determining said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 5, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said mapping said new device oriented context to said identity oriented context for said identity includes determining said identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 6, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

determining said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 7, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

determining said identity context (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 10, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving a request to make a change to a new identity oriented context for an identity (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and

mapping said new identity oriented context to a device context for a device associated
 with said identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 11, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

- receiving a request to make a change to a new identity oriented context for a second identity (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8); and
- mapping said new identity oriented context to a device oriented context for a device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 12, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said receiving said request to make said change to a new identity oriented context for said second identity includes receiving said request from an identity context oriented application (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0036]).

In regards to claim 13, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said new identity oriented context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity includes determining said device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 14, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 13, wherein said mapping said new identity oriented context to said device context for said device associated with said second

Art Unit: 2161

identity includes determining said device oriented context associated with said device associated with said second identity (Diacakis; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 15, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, wherein said mapping said new identity oriented context to said device context for said device associated with said second identity includes accessing a mapping table (Diacakis; Fig. 2; Fig. 5; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 16, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising:

providing data indicative of said device oriented context to a presence and availability service (Diacakis; Fig. 1; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0035], par [0038]; par [0043]-par [0044]; Fig. 8).

In regards to claim 17, Diacakis teaches the method of claim 11, further comprising:

changing an identity oriented context for said second identity from a first identity oriented context to a said new identity oriented context in response to said request
 (Diacakis; par [0034], lines 14-18; par [0056]; par [0059]; Fig. 8).

Claims 18-20 are each rejected with the same rationale given for claim 1.

Response to Amendment

12. Applicant's amendments filed 12/21/07 with respect to the claim objections have been considered. The corresponding objections have been withdrawn accordingly.

(

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 12/21/07 with respect to the prior art rejections of the claims have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Diacakis does not both an identity oriented context system and a device oriented context system as claimed. The examiner respectfully disagrees and refers the applicant to the rejection above. To reiterate, the examiner asserts that the presence detection engine of Diacakis is interpreted as a device oriented context system since it determines user's presence on particular devices, and the availability management engine is interpreted as an identity oriented context system since it determines a user's availability based on the user's/entity's situation (Diacakis; Fig. 1; Fig. 4).

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kavita Padmanabhan whose telephone number is 571-2728352. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,522

Art Unit: 2161

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kavita Padmanabhan Assistant Examiner AU 2161 January 6, 2008

VAPU MOFIZ
OUR PATENT EXAMINER